

THE REVIEWING PROCESS

The reviewing process of the articles proposed for publishing in the journal **Studies of Prehistory** of the *Romanian Association of Archaeology* takes place according to the international procedures.

The paper will be sent to the chief editor of the journal, who will designate one responsible editor from the members of the editorial college, in order to decide if the article corresponds to the aims of the journal and if the quality of the scientific content is adequate for publishing in this journal.

If the answer is positive, the responsible editor will designate two referees from the scientific community, who are specialists in the field of the main subject of the article. In a short period of seven days, the referees will decide if accept to express their opinion on the paper. If after seven days, one or both referees decide that can not do a scientific review of the article, another specialist or two specialists will be nominated by the responsible editor.

The referees have a period of one month to formulate an opinion on the article and to take a decision. The two referees must take into consideration the following aspects in the reviewing process of the paper:

1. The practical importance and the impact of the study in the scientific community;
2. The originality of the article and the obtained results;
3. The scientific level of the paper;
4. The conclusions and/or suggestions and/or the future investigations proposed by the author (authors).

Each of those criteria has a score descending from 5 to 1. Finally, the two referees can suggest one of the following situations:

1. The article is accepted in the proposed form;
2. The article is accepted after all the suggested corrections will be done;
3. The article is not accepted.

The final decision for accepting or not accepting of the article belongs to the responsible editor and is based on the evaluation made by the two referees.

Note: Is at the discretion of the referees if they will remain anonymous or if they want to identify themselves.

SCIENTIFIC REVIEW FOR THE JOURNAL STUDIES OF PREHISTORY

VOLUME:

Romanian Association of Archaeology, Bucharest, Șoseaua Pantelimon 352, bl. 3, sc. C, apt. 85, sector 2, 021612, www.arheologie.ro, aroarh@yahoo.com

Reviewer's Name:

Affiliation:

Date:

Manuscript number:

Title:

Author(s):

1. The practical importance and the actuality of paper

5-Exceptional

4- Significant

3-Minor

2-Questionable

1-None or not suitable

Comments:

2. The original contributions and results

5-Exceptional

4- Significant

3-Minor

2-Questionable

1-None or not suitable

Comments:

3. The scientific level

5-Exceptional

4- Significant

3-Minor

2-Questionable

1-None or not suitable

Comments:

4. Recommendations to author(s)

(Template, language, style, graphical materials, mathematics, etc.

Note: any correction can be made directly on the text in red pen

5. Conclusions

Accepted for publication

Accepted for publication after the suggested corrections are made

Not accepted